

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,267	KUSIC, TOM	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy D. Collins	3643	

All Participants:
Status of Application: after non-final rejection

 (1) Timothy D. Collins.

(3) _____.

 (2) Tom Kusic.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 May 2006
Time: 6am (eastern)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 rejection which would be made in the next action

Claims discussed:

3 and 4 and dependent claims


Prior art documents discussed:

N/A
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was decided that to avoid any ambiguities in the claims 3 and 4, those claims and the dependent claims would be cancelled by examiner's amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)